



ENVIRONMENTAL EDUCATION AND NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Ntongha Yibala Inyang (Ph.D)

Department of Education Geography and Sustainable Development Studies
University of Calabar, Calabar

Email Address: inyangntongha@unical.edu.ng

&

Ubana, Ubana Michael (Ph.D)

Department of Adult and Continuing Education
University of Calabar, Calabar

Email Address: ubanamichael4real@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study interrogates the intricate relationship between Environmental Education and National Development, situating both within the broader paradigm of Sustainable Development. It advances the argument that environmental education constitutes a critical form of human capital investment that underpins sustainable economic growth, social well-being, and ecological integrity. By fostering environmental literacy, ethical consciousness, and problem-solving competencies, environmental education equips individuals and institutions to manage natural resources efficiently, mitigate environmental degradation, and respond adaptively to emerging global challenges, particularly those associated with Climate Science. The paper critically examines persistent structural constraints, including policy fragmentation, inadequate funding, curricular deficiencies, socio-cultural barriers, and weak institutional capacity, which collectively limit the transformative potential of environmental education in advancing national development. Drawing on insights from Development Economics and Political Ecology, it highlights the tension between immediate economic priorities and long-term environmental sustainability, particularly in developing economies. Notwithstanding these challenges, the study identifies significant prospects anchored in global development frameworks such as the United Nations's Sustainable Development Goals, which provide strategic pathways for integrating environmental education into national planning processes. Opportunities for green economic transformation, technological innovation, climate resilience, and participatory governance are emphasized as critical levers for sustainable progress. The study concludes that the effective institutionalization of environmental education, through coherent policy integration, interdisciplinary curriculum reform, and sustained investment, remains indispensable for achieving resilient, inclusive, and sustainable national development trajectories in the 21st century.

Keywords: Environmental, Education, National Development

Introduction

Environmental education and national development are increasingly understood in scholarly discourse as deeply interconnected concepts, especially within contemporary sustainability thinking. Development is no longer viewed strictly in economic terms but as a multidimensional process that integrates economic growth, social well-being, and environmental protection. Within this framework, environmental education emerges as a strategic instrument for shaping the human capacity required to achieve sustainable national development.



Scholars argue that national development depends not only on natural resources and technology but significantly on the quality of human capital, particularly citizens' awareness, values, and decision-making abilities regarding the environment. According to UNESCO (2023), education systems that integrate environmental and sustainability learning produce citizens who are better equipped to address environmental challenges and contribute to sustainable development goals. This suggests that environmental education is not peripheral but central to national development planning. From a developmental perspective, environmental education strengthens the foundation of sustainable development by promoting environmental literacy, responsible citizenship, and informed participation in governance. As Tilbury and Mulà (2022) explain, education for sustainability transforms how individuals understand the relationship between human activity and environmental systems, thereby influencing national development outcomes such as resource management, public health, and economic stability.

Similarly, Sachs (2022) emphasizes that national development cannot be achieved without addressing environmental degradation and climate risks, both of which are strongly influenced by human behavior. Environmental education therefore becomes a policy-relevant tool for governments seeking to align development agendas with sustainability goals. It equips citizens with the knowledge and competencies required to support green economies, climate resilience, and sustainable consumption patterns. In developing countries, scholars such as Okebukola and Jegede (2021) highlight that environmental education is particularly important because rapid urbanization, industrialization, and population growth often intensify environmental pressures. In such contexts, environmental education helps bridge the gap between development aspirations and environmental sustainability by fostering awareness of the long-term consequences of unsustainable practices. Furthermore, environmental education contributes to national development by shaping environmentally responsible behavior among citizens. According to Ardoin, Bowers, and Gaillard (2020), environmental education programs consistently lead to improved environmental attitudes and behaviors, which are essential for sustainable resource use and environmental protection.

These behavioral changes collectively contribute to improved public health, reduced environmental degradation, and more efficient use of national resources. In addition, environmental education strengthens civic engagement and democratic participation in environmental governance. As emphasized by UNEP (2023), informed citizens are more likely to participate in environmental decision-making processes, advocate for sustainable policies, and support government initiatives aimed at environmental protection. This participatory dimension enhances transparency and accountability in national development processes.

Environmental education (EE) has become an essential instrument for achieving sustainable national development in an era characterized by climate change, environmental degradation, and increasing pressure on natural resources. It refers to a lifelong learning process that enables individuals and communities to acquire knowledge, skills, values, attitudes, and behaviors necessary to understand and address environmental challenges. In contemporary discourse, environmental education is closely linked with Education for Sustainable Development (ESD), which integrates environmental protection with economic growth and social well-being. According to the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, ESD empowers people with the competencies needed to make responsible decisions that benefit the environment, economy, and society simultaneously.



Despite the growing global recognition of the importance of environmental sustainability, many nations continue to face persistent environmental challenges that undermine national development. Issues such as climate change, deforestation, pollution, biodiversity loss, and unsustainable resource exploitation remain widespread, particularly in developing countries. These challenges are not only ecological in nature but also economic and social, as they affect public health, food security, livelihoods, and overall quality of life. A key underlying problem identified in scholarly literature is the inadequate integration and implementation of environmental education within national development frameworks.

Environmental education is widely acknowledged as a critical tool for promoting environmental awareness, literacy, and responsible behavior. However, evidence suggests that there is still a significant gap between environmental knowledge and practical action. According to the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, many education systems worldwide do not sufficiently incorporate environmental and climate-related content, resulting in limited understanding of sustainability issues among learners. This deficiency contributes to poor environmental decision-making and unsustainable practices, which in turn hinder national development efforts. Furthermore, in many developing countries, including Nigeria, environmental education is either inadequately integrated into school curricula or poorly implemented due to factors such as lack of trained educators, insufficient instructional materials, and weak policy enforcement. Scholars such as Okebukola and Jegede (2021) argue that this gap in environmental education limits the capacity of citizens to respond effectively to environmental challenges associated with rapid urbanization, industrialization, and population growth. Consequently, environmental degradation continues to escalate, negatively impacting economic productivity and social well-being.

Another dimension of the problem is the disconnect between environmental policies and public participation. National development strategies often emphasize economic growth without sufficient consideration of environmental sustainability. Even where environmental policies exist, their effectiveness is limited by low levels of environmental awareness and civic engagement among the population. As noted by the United Nations Environment Programme, sustainable development requires not only policy interventions but also an informed and active citizenry capable of supporting and implementing environmental initiatives. In addition, the increasing complexity of environmental problems demands critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and interdisciplinary knowledge competencies that are often lacking due to weak environmental education systems. Without these skills, individuals and institutions are less equipped to develop innovative solutions to environmental challenges or adapt to emerging issues such as climate change. This further exacerbates the gap between development goals and environmental sustainability.

Moreover, there exists a persistent inequality in environmental knowledge and access to education, particularly among marginalized and vulnerable populations. This inequality limits inclusive participation in environmental decision-making and perpetuates environmental injustice. As a result, the benefits of national development are unevenly distributed, and vulnerable communities remain disproportionately affected by environmental degradation. Given these challenges, the central problem is that insufficient and ineffective environmental education continues to constrain the achievement of sustainable national development. Without a well-



informed, environmentally literate, and actively engaged population, efforts to promote sustainable development are likely to remain inadequate and unsustainable. Therefore, there is a critical need to strengthen environmental education systems, integrate them into national development policies, and enhance their practical implementation to ensure long-term environmental sustainability and national progress.

Conceptual clarification

Environmental education

Environmental education (EE) refers to organized efforts to teach how natural environments function, and particularly, how human beings can manage behavior and ecosystems to live sustainably. It is a multi-disciplinary field integrating disciplines such as biology, chemistry, physics, ecology, earth science, atmospheric science, mathematics, and geography. UNESCO, (2023) also see environmental education as a structured and continuous process through which individuals and communities gain awareness, knowledge, skills, attitudes, and values necessary to understand and address environmental issues. According to (Augustine, et al 2025) Community environmental education is a significant instrument for environmental protection. It is designed to foster responsible behavior toward the environment and encourage active participation in solving environmental problems. According to the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, environmental education empowers learners to make informed decisions and take responsible actions for environmental integrity, economic viability, and a just society for present and future generations. The term often implies education within the school system, from primary to post-secondary. However, it sometimes includes all efforts to educate the public and other audiences, including print materials, websites, media campaigns, etc. There are also ways that environmental education is taught outside the traditional classroom: aquariums, zoos, parks, and nature centers all have ways of teaching the public about the environment.

The concept of environmental education was formally articulated in international frameworks such as the Belgrade Charter and the Tbilisi Declaration, which established its fundamental goals and guiding principles. These frameworks identified key objectives of environmental education to include: (a) Awareness: Helping individuals become conscious of environmental issues; (b) Knowledge: Providing understanding of ecological systems and human-environment interactions; (c) Attitudes: Developing concern and motivation to protect the environment; (d) Skills: Building capacity to identify and solve environmental problems and (e) Participation: Encouraging active involvement in environmental decision-making. These objectives remain central to contemporary environmental education practices and policies. These objectives provide a framework for structuring EE curricula to ensure that learners not only gain theoretical knowledge but also develop a sense of responsibility toward environmental conservation. Environmental Education is often classified into three key domains, which influence its pedagogical design and implementation. (1) This involves acquiring factual knowledge about ecological processes, environmental issues, and sustainability concepts (Ukpong & Eze, 2020), (2) the approach emphasizes experiential learning, where students engage in outdoor activities, field studies, and nature-based exploration to develop a deeper connection with the environment (Nwosu & Okeke, 2019), and (3) the dimension focuses on promoting attitudes and behaviors that promote conservation, advocacy, and proactive environmental problem-solving (Ogunleye & Nwankwo, 2021)



The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) states that EE is vital in imparting an inherent respect for nature among society and in enhancing public environmental awareness. UNESCO emphasises the role of environmental education in safeguarding future global developments of societal quality of life (QOL), through the protection of the environment, eradication of poverty, minimization of inequalities and insurance of sustainable development.

Modern interpretations position environmental education within the broader framework of Education for Sustainable Development (ESD), which integrates environmental concerns with social and economic dimensions of development. EE is therefore not limited to ecological knowledge but also addresses issues such as sustainable consumption, climate change, biodiversity conservation, and environmental justice. Scholars emphasize that environmental education is interdisciplinary, drawing from fields such as ecology, geography, economics, sociology, and political science to provide a holistic understanding of environmental challenges (Babalola & Olawuyi, 2021).

Furthermore, environmental education is both formal and informal in nature. In formal settings, it is incorporated into school curricula at primary, secondary, and tertiary levels, while in informal contexts it occurs through community programs, media campaigns, and public awareness initiatives. Communities contribute to infrastructure development and support, especially in rural areas (Asor et al 2022). This lifelong learning approach ensures that individuals at all stages of life can contribute to environmental sustainability (Ardoin et al., 2020). Recent studies highlight that environmental education plays a critical role in shaping pro-environmental behavior. By enhancing environmental literacy, it influences individuals' attitudes and actions toward conservation, resource management, and sustainable living. For instance, research shows that students exposed to environmental education are more likely to adopt environmentally responsible behaviors such as waste reduction, energy conservation, and participation in environmental protection activities (Obiagu et al., 2024).

In addition, environmental education promotes critical thinking and problem-solving skills, enabling individuals to analyze environmental issues from multiple perspectives and develop innovative solutions. This is particularly important in addressing complex global challenges such as climate change, which require collective action and informed decision-making. As noted by the United Nations Environment Programme, education is a key driver in transforming human behavior toward more sustainable patterns of production and consumption (UNEP, 2022).

Relevance of Environmental Education

The importance of Environmental Education spans beyond classroom instruction to encompass broader societal, economic, and ecological benefits. It serves as a catalyst for environmental awareness, behavioral change, and policy advocacy, ensuring that individuals and communities adopt sustainable practice which includes:

1. Environmental Education and Environmental Awareness and Literacy: One of the most fundamental importance of environmental education lies in its ability to enhance environmental awareness and environmental literacy. Environmental awareness refers to the basic understanding of environmental systems and issues, while environmental literacy goes further by equipping individuals with the knowledge, skills, attitudes, and values needed to make informed and



responsible environmental decisions. Together, these concepts form the intellectual foundation for sustainable development and environmental stewardship. Environmental education helps individuals understand ecological systems and the complex relationships that sustain life on Earth. According to Stevenson et al. (2023), ecological literacy is essential for understanding how ecosystems function and how human activities influence natural balance. Learners exposed to environmental education become familiar with concepts such as biodiversity, nutrient cycling, energy flow, and ecosystem interdependence. This understanding is critical because it reveals that human survival is directly linked to environmental health.

In addition, environmental education deepens understanding of environmental problems such as deforestation, pollution, desertification, loss of biodiversity, and climate change. These issues are interconnected and often caused by unsustainable human activities. IPCC (2023) reports emphasize that human-driven greenhouse gas emissions are the dominant cause of global climate change, highlighting the need for widespread environmental understanding. Environmental education simplifies these scientific realities for learners, making them accessible and actionable. The importance of environmental literacy becomes even more evident when considering global knowledge gaps. The United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO, 2023) reports that many students worldwide still lack adequate understanding of climate change, sustainability, and environmental systems. UNESCO further emphasizes that fewer than half of global education systems sufficiently integrate environmental and climate topics into curricula, creating a significant global literacy gap. This lack of knowledge limits individuals' ability to respond effectively to environmental challenges.

Environmental literacy enables individuals to make informed decisions about resource use, conservation, and environmental protection. According to Krasny and Tidball (2022), environmentally literate individuals are more likely to engage in sustainable practices such as energy conservation, waste reduction, and water management. This ability to make informed choices is essential for sustainable living, particularly in a world facing increasing resource scarcity and environmental degradation.

2. Environmental Education and Positive Environmental Behavior: Environmental education plays a crucial role in influencing positive environmental behavior by bridging the gap between knowledge and action. While awareness is important, behavior change requires deeper engagement involving skills, values, and motivation. Environmental education provides this integration by combining cognitive learning with emotional and practical experiences. According to Bamberg and Möser (2021), environmental behavior is influenced by a combination of knowledge, attitudes, moral norms, and perceived behavioral control. Environmental education strengthens all these components by encouraging learners to reflect on their environmental impact and adopt sustainable lifestyles.

Recent empirical studies confirm the effectiveness of environmental education in promoting behavioral change. For example, Zhao et al. (2024) found that participation in environmental education programs significantly improves pro-environmental behavior among students by enhancing environmental attitudes and responsibility. Similarly, Ardoin, Bowers, and Gaillard (2020) demonstrate through a meta-analysis that environmental education programs consistently produce positive changes in environmental knowledge, attitudes, and behaviors across diverse populations. Environmental education also promotes experiential learning, which is highly



effective in behavior formation. Field activities, community projects, and environmental campaigns allow learners to connect theory with practice. According to Kolb's experiential learning theory (2022 revision by Kolb & Kolb), learning becomes more effective when individuals actively engage with real-world experiences. Environmental education uses this principle to encourage sustainable behavior such as recycling, conservation, and participation in environmental protection initiatives.

3. Environmental Education and Sustainable Development: Environmental education is fundamental to achieving sustainable development because it integrates environmental protection with economic and social progress. Sustainable development requires balancing present needs without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. According to Sachs (2022), sustainable development cannot be achieved through technology alone; it requires changes in human behavior, values, and decision-making processes, all of which are shaped by education. Environmental education therefore acts as a bridge between environmental science and sustainable policy implementation.

The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG 4 (Quality Education) and SDG 13 (Climate Action), emphasize the role of education in promoting sustainability. UNESCO (2024) highlights that Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) equips learners with competencies needed for environmental stewardship, economic resilience, and social inclusion. Scholars such as Sterling (2023) argue that environmental education transforms education systems by shifting from knowledge transmission to transformative learning that promotes sustainability-oriented thinking. This transformation is necessary because environmental problems are deeply rooted in human behavior and consumption patterns. Technological advancement alone is insufficient to solve environmental challenges. Though, building digital literacy also promote development (Helen; Ubana & Grace 2025). Harnessing security approach and artificial intelligence (AI) (Ubana; Obeten; Musa & Eloma 2025). While innovation can provide solutions, human behavior determines whether those solutions are effectively implemented. As O'Neill et al. (2022) note, unsustainable consumption patterns are among the biggest drivers of environmental degradation, and education is essential in reshaping these behaviors toward sustainability.

4. Environmental Education and Critical Thinking Skills: Another key importance of environmental education is its ability to foster critical thinking and problem-solving skills. Environmental issues are complex, uncertain, and interdisciplinary, requiring individuals to analyze problems from multiple perspectives. According to Tilbury (2022), environmental education encourages learners to question assumptions, evaluate evidence, and consider alternative solutions. This process strengthens analytical thinking and decision-making abilities, which are essential for addressing environmental challenges. Environmental education also promotes systems thinking—the ability to understand how different parts of an ecosystem interact. Meadows (2021) emphasizes that systems thinking is essential for solving environmental problems because it allows individuals to see the long-term consequences of human actions. Furthermore, environmental education supports problem-solving in areas such as environmental justice, pollution control, and climate adaptation. Schlosberg (2023) highlights that environmental justice education helps learners understand inequalities in environmental risk distribution and encourages equitable solutions.



5. *Environmental Education and Climate Change Response:* Environmental education is essential in preparing individuals and societies to respond effectively to climate change. Climate change is one of the most urgent global challenges, requiring both mitigation and adaptation strategies. According to the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report (2023), human activities are causing unprecedented climate changes, and addressing them requires both technological innovation and behavioral change. Environmental education plays a key role in promoting climate literacy, which is the understanding of climate systems and human impacts. Research by UNESCO (2024) shows that climate education increases individuals' willingness to engage in mitigation behaviors such as reducing emissions, conserving energy, and supporting renewable energy initiatives. It also enhances adaptation strategies by improving awareness of climate risks such as flooding, drought, and extreme weather events. In addition, environmental education contributes to the development of green skills needed for the transition to a low-carbon economy. According to ILO (2023), the global shift toward green jobs requires education systems to equip learners with environmental competencies and sustainability-related skills.

6. *Environmental Education, Equity, and Environmental Justice:* Environmental education also emphasizes equity and justice by highlighting how environmental problems disproportionately affect vulnerable populations. Issues such as pollution, climate change, and resource depletion often impact low-income communities more severely. According to Bullard (2022), environmental justice education is essential for addressing systemic inequalities in environmental exposure and resource access. It encourages learners to understand the social dimensions of environmental issues and advocate for fair solutions. UNEP (2023) further emphasizes that environmental education must include social justice perspectives to ensure inclusive sustainability transitions. This approach ensures that environmental benefits and responsibilities are shared equitably across societies.

7. *Environmental Education and Global Citizenship:* Environmental education is a lifelong process that fosters global citizenship. It helps individuals understand that environmental issues are global in nature and require international cooperation. According to UNESCO (2024), global citizenship education integrated with environmental learning encourages learners to take responsibility not only for their local environment but also for the global ecosystem. It promotes values such as cooperation, solidarity, and shared responsibility. Environmental education also strengthens awareness of global environmental agreements such as the Paris Agreement and the Sustainable Development Goals, encouraging individuals to participate in global environmental governance.

8. *Environmental Education and the Knowledge–Action Gap:* A major challenge in environmental sustainability is the gap between knowledge and action, often referred to as the “value–action gap.” Many individuals understand environmental problems but fail to act accordingly. According to Kollmuss and Agyeman (2021), this gap arises due to psychological, social, and structural barriers. Environmental education helps bridge this gap by combining knowledge with emotional engagement and practical experience. Recent research by Whitmarsh et al. (2023) shows that environmental education programs that include experiential learning, community involvement, and value-based education are more effective in producing long-term behavioral change.

Principles of environmental education

The principles of environmental education provide a framework that guides how environmental knowledge, skills, and values are taught and applied. These principles were



internationally recognized at the Tbilisi Declaration, organized by UNESCO and UNEP, and remain the foundation of modern environmental education practices. They emphasize a holistic, action-oriented, and lifelong approach to learning about the environment. At the core, environmental education is guided by principles that ensure learners not only acquire knowledge but also develop the capacity to act responsibly toward environmental sustainability (Open University 2024).

1. **Holistic View of the Environment:** Environmental education should consider the environment in its totality, including natural, social, economic, political, cultural, and technological dimensions. This principle recognizes that environmental problems are interconnected and cannot be understood in isolation.
2. **Lifelong Learning Process:** Environmental education is not limited to formal schooling; it is a continuous, lifelong process that begins at early childhood and extends through adulthood. It occurs in both formal and informal settings, ensuring that all members of society remain environmentally aware.
3. **Interdisciplinary Approach:** Environmental education should be interdisciplinary, drawing knowledge from various fields such as science, geography, economics, sociology, and politics. This approach allows learners to gain a comprehensive understanding of environmental issues.
4. **Local to Global Perspective:** It should examine environmental issues from local, national, regional, and global perspectives, helping learners understand how environmental problems and solutions are interconnected across different scales.
5. **Focus on Current and Future Issues:** Environmental education should address current environmental problems while also considering future challenges and historical contexts. This helps learners anticipate and prevent environmental degradation.
6. **Promotion of Critical Thinking and Problem-Solving:** Learners should develop critical thinking and problem-solving skills to analyze environmental issues, identify their root causes, and propose practical solutions.
7. **Participation and Active Involvement:** Environmental education should encourage active participation in environmental protection and decision-making. Individuals and communities must be involved in solving environmental problems at all levels.
8. **Value and Attitude Development:** It should foster positive attitudes and values such as responsibility, respect for nature, and commitment to sustainability. Knowledge alone is insufficient without the right environmental ethics.
9. **Skill Development:** Environmental education must equip learners with practical skills for identifying, preventing, and solving environmental problems, including decision-making and environmental management skills.



10. Integration into Formal and Informal Systems: It should be integrated into formal education (schools) and informal settings such as communities, media, and organizations to reach a wider audience.
11. Link with Sustainable Development: Environmental education should highlight the relationship between environmental protection and socio-economic development, promoting sustainable use of resources and responsible development planning.
12. Use of Diverse Learning Methods: It should employ varied teaching strategies, including practical activities, fieldwork, and experiential learning, to enhance understanding and engagement.

National development

The concept of economic development has undergone significant refinement in contemporary scholarship, moving beyond a narrow focus on income growth to a multidimensional and human-centered framework. Within Development Economics, current authors emphasize that economic development encompasses structural transformation, improvements in welfare, institutional quality, and environmental sustainability. Modern economists such as Abhijit Banerjee and Esther Duflo (2019) conceptualize economic development through an evidence-based lens, focusing on poverty alleviation and micro-level interventions that improve living standards. Their work underscores that development is not solely about macroeconomic expansion but about designing policies that effectively address real constraints faced by the poor. This approach reflects a shift toward experimental and data-driven development strategies.

Similarly, Angus Deaton (2013; 2021) argues that economic development should be understood in terms of improvements in health, well-being, and the reduction of global inequality. Deaton emphasizes that while economic growth can enhance living standards, it must be accompanied by equitable distribution and strong institutions to produce meaningful development outcomes. From a broader macro-development perspective, Dani Rodrik (2015; 2018) highlights the centrality of structural transformation—particularly the movement of labor from low-productivity to high-productivity sectors—as a defining feature of economic development. However, Rodrik also notes that globalization has altered traditional industrialization pathways, making it more challenging for developing countries to replicate past growth models. This underscores the need for context-specific development strategies.

In addition, Joseph Stiglitz, Jean-Paul Fitoussi, and Amartya Sen (2009; extended in later works) advocate for a broader measurement of development beyond GDP. They emphasize well-being, sustainability, and social inclusion as essential components. This perspective has influenced global development metrics and policy frameworks, encouraging governments to adopt more comprehensive indicators of progress. The role of institutions and governance has also gained prominence in contemporary definitions. Daron Acemoglu and James A. Robinson (2012; 2019) argue that inclusive political and economic institutions are fundamental to sustainable development. According to their institutional theory, countries with inclusive systems that promote participation, innovation, and accountability are more likely to achieve long-term economic growth and development.



Furthermore, recent literature integrates environmental sustainability into the concept of development. Reports by the World Bank (2020; 2023) and the United Nations Development Programme (2020; 2022) emphasize “green growth” and human development as central to modern economic development. These frameworks align with the Sustainable Development Goals, which highlight the interdependence of economic progress, social inclusion, and environmental protection.

Contemporary scholars such as Jeffrey Sachs (2015; 2021) further argue that economic development must address global challenges including climate change, inequality, and technological disruption. Sachs frames development as a process of achieving sustainable well-being through coordinated global and national policies. Thus, economic development is no longer seen merely as an increase in national income but as a comprehensive process aimed at expanding human capabilities, enhancing quality of life, and ensuring sustainability for present and future generations.

Nexus between environmental education and national development

The connectedness between environmental education and national development can be framed within the interdisciplinary lenses of Environmental Education, Sustainable Development, and Development Economics. Foundational frameworks such as UNESCO’s Education for Sustainable Development and the United Nations’s Sustainable Development Goals provide normative grounding for understanding how environmental literacy translates into macro-level development outcomes.

1. Sustainable Use of Resources: Environmental education functions as a mechanism for internalizing principles of Intergenerational Equity and Carrying Capacity. By embedding ecological literacy into formal and informal learning systems, individuals and institutions are better positioned to adopt resource-efficient technologies and practices. At the macroeconomic level, this reduces the risk of resource depletion traps and supports steady-state or sustainable growth trajectories. For resource-dependent economies, particularly in agrarian and extractive sectors, environmentally informed decision-making enhances productivity while minimizing negative externalities such as land degradation and deforestation. Thus, environmental education contributes to the decoupling of economic growth from environmental degradation.

2. Improved Public Health: The relationship between environmental quality and health outcomes is well established within Public Health and Environmental Health. Environmental education enhances awareness of risk factors associated with pollution, poor sanitation, and unsafe water. From a human capital perspective, improved environmental conditions reduce the prevalence of communicable and non-communicable diseases, thereby increasing labor productivity and life expectancy. This aligns with endogenous growth theories, where investments in health and education yield long-term economic dividends. Reduced healthcare burdens also enable governments to reallocate resources toward infrastructure and innovation, reinforcing development.

3. Economic Growth and Green Jobs: Environmental education catalyzes structural transformation by fostering competencies relevant to the emerging Green Economy. Knowledge dissemination in renewable energy systems, circular economy practices, and sustainable agriculture stimulates



innovation and entrepreneurship. This aligns with Schumpeterian growth models, where innovation drives economic expansion. By equipping the workforce with green skills, nations can participate competitively in global markets increasingly oriented toward sustainability standards. Moreover, the transition to low-carbon economies generates employment opportunities across sectors such as clean energy, waste management, and environmental consultancy.

4. **Climate Change Adaptation and Resilience:** Within the framework of Climate Science, environmental education enhances adaptive capacity by improving risk perception, preparedness, and response strategies. Communities informed about climate variability are more likely to adopt resilient agricultural practices, disaster risk reduction measures, and sustainable urban planning. At the policy level, environmentally literate leadership facilitates evidence-based decision-making, reducing vulnerability to climate-induced shocks. This contributes to safeguarding national assets, stabilizing economic performance, and preventing development reversals caused by extreme weather events.

5. **Responsible Citizenship and Governance:** Environmental education strengthens civic competencies by promoting environmental ethics and participatory governance. Drawing from Political Ecology, it encourages citizens to critically engage with issues of resource allocation, environmental justice, and policy accountability. This leads to enhanced transparency and institutional effectiveness, as informed citizens are more likely to advocate for sustainable policies and hold authorities accountable. Consequently, governance systems become more responsive and inclusive, which is a critical determinant of long-term national development.

6. **Preservation of Biodiversity and Ecosystems:** The conservation of biodiversity is central to maintaining Ecosystem Services, which underpin economic and social systems. Environmental education fosters an understanding of ecological interdependence, thereby encouraging conservation-oriented behaviors and policies. From an ecological economics perspective, biodiversity loss represents a depletion of natural capital. By promoting conservation, environmental education ensures the sustained provision of essential services such as pollination, water purification, and climate regulation. These services are indispensable for agriculture, industry, and human survival, making them foundational to national development.

Challenges affecting the implementation of environmental education for national development

Major challenges of environmental education and national development requires engagement with empirical studies and theoretical literature across Environmental Education and Sustainable Development. The challenges are multidimensional: pedagogical, institutional, socio-economic, and epistemological which have been widely examined by scholars such as Bosah (2013), Marcinkowski (2010), Hudson (2001), and Blum et al. (2013).

1. **Conceptual and Theoretical Ambiguities:** A fundamental challenge lies in the lack of conceptual clarity regarding environmental education and its related paradigms. According to Blum et al. (2013), tensions persist between Environmental Education (EE), Education for Sustainable Development (ESD), and Climate Change Education (CCE), leading to inconsistent interpretations and applications across contexts. Similarly, Park (1983) argues that environmental education has struggled to define its disciplinary boundaries and objectives, oscillating between “education about,” “in,” and “for” the environment. This conceptual fragmentation weakens policy coherence



and reduces the effectiveness of educational interventions in driving sustainable development outcomes.

2. **Curriculum and Pedagogical Constraints:** One of the most persistent challenges is the inadequacy of curricula and teaching methodologies. Rickinson and Lundholm (2008) highlight that learners often face difficulties due to the complexity and interdisciplinary nature of environmental issues, which are not effectively addressed in traditional educational frameworks. Hudson (2001) further emphasizes that environmental education must continuously adapt to evolving social and technological contexts, yet many systems remain static and outdated. Additionally, Ham and Sewing (1998) identify barriers such as lack of teaching materials, insufficient instructional time, and poor pedagogical approaches. Based on implication, without experiential, inquiry-based, and interdisciplinary pedagogy, environmental education fails to produce the behavioral and cognitive transformations necessary for national development.

3. **Institutional Weaknesses and Policy Implementation Gaps:** Institutional inefficiency remains a critical barrier. Bosah (2013) observes that in many developing countries, environmental education policies are poorly coordinated and inadequately implemented across educational levels. Marcinkowski (2010) also notes that despite decades of advocacy, environmental education has not fully penetrated mainstream educational systems due to weak institutional commitment. Weak governance structures hinder the translation of environmental knowledge into actionable development policies, limiting national progress.

4. **Financial Constraints and Resource Limitations:** Funding deficiencies significantly constrain environmental education initiatives. According to Babalola and Olawuyi (2021), limited financial investment affects infrastructure, teacher training, and research capacity in environmental education systems. Ham and Sewing (1998) similarly point to inadequate instructional resources and logistical support as major obstacles. Without sustained funding, environmental education remains largely theoretical and fails to support innovation, green technology adoption, and sustainable economic growth.

5. **Socio-Cultural and Behavioral Barriers:** Environmental education must contend with entrenched cultural practices and societal attitudes. Bosah (2013) notes that low environmental awareness and apathy in many societies hinder the effectiveness of educational interventions. Furthermore, studies on indigenous and marginalized communities show that behavioral change is constrained by socio-economic realities, low literacy levels, and cultural norms (Ham & Sewing, 1998). Knowledge alone does not guarantee behavioral change; without addressing socio-cultural contexts, environmental education cannot fully contribute to sustainable national development.

6. **Poverty and Developmental Pressures:** A major structural challenge is the conflict between environmental sustainability and immediate economic needs. Developing countries often prioritize industrialization, resource extraction, and income generation over environmental protection. Bosah (2013) highlights how rapid societal transformation and the pursuit of improved living standards exacerbate environmental degradation. Poverty limits individuals' capacity to adopt sustainable practices, while governments may exploit natural resources unsustainably, undermining long-term development goals.



7. Complexity of Environmental Problems: Environmental issues such as climate change, biodiversity loss, and pollution are inherently complex and interdisciplinary. Hudson (2001) stresses that environmental education must address rapidly changing scientific knowledge and societal conditions. Similarly, Rickinson and Lundholm (2008) note that students often struggle with the abstract and systemic nature of environmental problems. The complexity of environmental challenges makes it difficult to design effective educational programs that translate into practical solutions for national development.

8. Lack of Interdisciplinary Integration: Environmental education inherently requires integration across disciplines such as science, economics, and social studies. However, traditional education systems are often compartmentalized. Blum et al. (2013) argue that the transdisciplinary nature of sustainability education creates implementation difficulties within rigid academic structures. The absence of interdisciplinary approaches limits the ability of learners to understand and address real-world environmental-development linkages.

9. Technological and Knowledge Gaps: Access to modern technology and scientific knowledge is uneven, particularly in developing countries. Babalola and Olawuyi (2021) emphasize disparities in access to quality education and environmental information. These gaps hinder innovation, data-driven decision-making, and the adoption of sustainable technologies essential for national development.

10. Monitoring and Evaluation Deficiencies: Another major challenge is the lack of robust mechanisms to assess the effectiveness of environmental education programs. Marcinkowski (2010) notes the limited empirical evaluation of outcomes in environmental education initiatives. Without measurable indicators, it is difficult to determine the contribution of environmental education to development, leading to weak accountability and policy inefficiency.

Prospects and opportunities for environmental education and national development

Prospects and opportunities for environmental education and national development reveals a strong and evolving synergy, particularly within contemporary global frameworks such as United Nations's Sustainable Development Goals and initiatives led by UNESCO. Within the intersecting domains of Environmental Education and Sustainable Development, several opportunities are identified below:

1. Integration into National Development Planning: One of the most significant prospects lies in embedding environmental education into national policy frameworks and development strategies. Governments increasingly recognize that sustainable development cannot be achieved without an environmentally literate population. Environmental education can be institutionalized across all levels of education; primary, secondary, and tertiary while ensuring that sustainability principles inform economic planning, infrastructure development, and resource management. This integration fosters policy coherence and supports long-term national resilience.

2. Advancement of the Green Economy: The transition toward a Green Economy presents substantial opportunities for employment generation and economic diversification. Environmental education equips individuals with competencies in renewable energy, sustainable agriculture, waste management, and eco-innovation. These skills are essential for emerging industries such as solar and wind energy, circular economy enterprises, and environmental consultancy services. As



global markets increasingly prioritize sustainability, countries that invest in environmental education are better positioned to compete economically.

3. **Technological Innovation and Knowledge Development:** Environmental education fosters research, innovation, and technological advancement. Universities and research institutions play a critical role in developing solutions to environmental challenges, including climate change mitigation, pollution control, and biodiversity conservation. The integration of digital technologies; such as geographic information systems (GIS), remote sensing, and data analytics, enhances environmental monitoring and decision-making. This creates opportunities for knowledge-based economies and strengthens national capacity for innovation.

4. **Climate Change Adaptation and Resilience Building:** Within the context of Climate Science, environmental education enhances adaptive capacity at both individual and institutional levels. Communities equipped with environmental knowledge are more likely to adopt climate-resilient agricultural practices, disaster risk reduction strategies, and sustainable urban planning approaches. This reduces vulnerability to environmental shocks and safeguards national development gains.

5. **Strengthening Governance and Civic Participation:** Environmental education promotes informed citizenship and participatory governance. It encourages individuals to engage in environmental decision-making processes, advocate for sustainable policies, and hold institutions accountable. This aligns with insights from Political Ecology, where power relations and governance structures influence environmental outcomes. Strengthened civic engagement contributes to transparency, accountability, and effective policy implementation as key drivers of national development.

6. **Conservation of Natural Capital and Ecosystem Services:** Environmental education supports the preservation of biodiversity and the sustainable management of natural resources. By fostering an understanding of Ecosystem Services, it highlights the economic value of ecosystems such as forests, wetlands, and oceans. This creates opportunities for sustainable tourism (ecotourism), conservation finance, and payment for ecosystem services schemes. Protecting natural capital ensures the continued provision of essential resources that underpin economic and social development.

7. **Promotion of Sustainable Lifestyles and Consumption Patterns:** Environmental education encourages behavioral change toward sustainable consumption and production. It promotes energy efficiency, waste reduction, recycling, and responsible resource use. These changes contribute to national goals related to environmental sustainability while also reducing costs for households and governments. Over time, sustainable lifestyles can significantly lower ecological footprints and enhance quality of life.

8. **Capacity Building and Human Capital Development:** Environmental education is a critical component of human capital formation. It develops critical thinking, problem-solving, and systems-thinking skills that are essential for addressing complex environmental challenges. A workforce equipped with these competencies is better prepared to innovate, adapt, and contribute to sustainable economic growth. This aligns with broader development theories that emphasize education as a driver of productivity and competitiveness.



9. International Collaboration and Funding Opportunities: Global concern for environmental sustainability has led to increased international cooperation and funding. Programs supported by organizations such as the United Nations Environment Programme and World Bank provide financial and technical assistance for environmental education initiatives. Participation in international networks facilitates knowledge exchange, capacity building, and access to best practices, enabling countries to strengthen their environmental education systems.

10. Localization of Indigenous Knowledge Systems: An emerging opportunity lies in integrating indigenous and local knowledge into environmental education. Traditional ecological knowledge often embodies sustainable resource management practices that have been refined over generations. By incorporating these perspectives, environmental education becomes more context-specific, culturally relevant, and effective in promoting sustainable development at the grassroots level.

Summary

The relationship between environmental education and national development reveals a deeply interconnected, mutually reinforcing dynamic grounded in the principles of Environmental Education and Sustainable Development. Environmental education serves as a strategic instrument for building environmentally literate citizens who possess the knowledge, skills, values, and attitudes necessary for responsible interaction with the natural environment. This form of education underpins national development by promoting sustainable resource management, improving public health outcomes, and fostering innovation within emerging sectors such as the Green Economy. It also enhances resilience to environmental challenges through insights drawn from Climate Science, while strengthening governance and civic participation, as emphasized in Political Ecology.

However, the transformative potential of environmental education is constrained by structural and systemic challenges. These include weak policy integration, inadequate funding, outdated curricula, socio-cultural barriers, poverty, and institutional inefficiencies. Additionally, the complexity of environmental problems and technological gaps further limit the effectiveness of educational interventions in driving sustainable development outcomes.

Despite these challenges, significant prospects exist. Global frameworks led by the United Nations, particularly the Sustainable Development Goals, provide a roadmap for integrating environmental education into national planning. Opportunities also emerge through technological innovation, international collaboration, green job creation, and the incorporation of indigenous knowledge systems. These pathways position environmental education as a catalyst for economic diversification, ecological sustainability, and social inclusion.

In essence, environmental education represents a form of long-term human capital investment with multidimensional benefits. Its effectiveness depends on coherent policy implementation, adequate resource allocation, interdisciplinary approaches, and alignment with socio-economic realities. When strategically harnessed, it becomes a foundational driver of resilient, inclusive, and sustainable national development.



REFERENCES

- Angus Deaton, A. (2013). *The Great Escape: Health, Wealth, and the Origins of Inequality*; (2021). *Economics in America*.
- Ardoin, N. M., Bowers, A. W., & Gaillard, E. (2020). Environmental education outcomes for conservation: A systematic review. *Biological Conservation*, 241, 108224.
- Asor L. J., Ubana, U. M., & Abuokwen A. A. (2022). Community Engagement in Internal Security. *Journal of Environmental and Tourism Education (JETE)* Vol. 5 No. 2, 30-47.
- Augustine, A. A., Ubana, M. U., Rose, O. B., George, O. A. (2025). Community environmental education: A significant instrument for environmental protection. *Scientific Journal of Educational Management, Research and law*. Vol. 3 (1). Pp. 293-301.
- Babalola, A., & Olawuyi, D. (2021). Advancing Environmental Education for Sustainable Development in Nigeria. *Sustainability Journal*.
- Blum, N., Nazir, J., Breiting, S., & Goh, K. C. (2013). Conceptual challenges of education for sustainable development. *Environmental Education Research*.
- Bosah, V. O. (2013). Environmental Education in Nigeria: Issues, Challenges and Prospects. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*.
- Bullard, R. D. (2022). *Environmental Justice and the Green Economy*.
- Chien, S.-C., & Knoble, C. (2024). Education for Sustainable Development: Emerging Trends after SDG 4. *Journal of Sustainability Research*.
- Helen A. A., Ubana M. U. & Grace B. B. A. (2025). Building digital literacy through social work education for sustainable development. *Scientific Journal of Educational Management, Research and law*. Vol. 3 (1). Pp. 420-425.
- IPCC (2023). *Sixth Assessment Report*. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
- Marcinkowski, T. J. (2010). Contemporary Challenges and Opportunities in Environmental Education. *Journal of Environmental Education*.
- Mbah, M. F., & Ezegwu, C. (2024). Decolonisation of Climate Change and Environmental Education in Africa. *Sustainability*.
- Obiagu, A., et al. (2024). Environmental Education and Pro-Environmental Behaviour among Nigerian Pre-service Teachers. *Environmental Education Research*.
- Okebukola, P., & Jegede, O. (2021). Environmental education in developing contexts. *International Journal of Environmental Education*.
- O'Neill, D. W., et al. (2022). Social foundations for sustainability. *Nature Sustainability*.
- Sterling, S. (2023). Transformative learning and sustainability education. *Environmental Education Research*.
- Tilbury, D. (2022). Education for sustainable development. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*.



- Tilbury, D., & Mulà, I. (2022). Transformative education for sustainability. *Journal of Education for Sustainable Development*.
- Ubana M. U., Obeten, O. O., Musa, Z. & Eloma, A. S. (2025). Harnessing security approach and artificial intelligence (AI) as tools to combat corrupt/unethical practices in Social Work Education: A Paradigm Shift. *African Journal of Educational Management, Teaching and Entrepreneurship Studies*, Vol. 15 (2), 318 – 334. <https://ajemates.org>
- Ubana M. U., Glory E. E., Arikpo, S. O. & Oku B. U. (2024). Administrators supervisory role on quality service secondary school delivery in Ikom Education Zone of Cross River State, Nigeria. *Journal of Association of Educational Management and Policy Practitioners (JAEMPP)* Vol. 4. Issue 1. Pp. 219 - 225
- UNESCO-UNEP (1976/1977, discussed in recent literature). Belgrade Charter and Tbilisi Declaration principles of Environmental Education.
- United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (2024–2026). Education for Sustainable Development (ESD).
- Whitmarsh, L., et al. (2023). Behavioural climate change research. *Nature Climate Change*.
- Zhao, Y., Liu, X., & Han, X. (2024). Environmental education and pro-environmental behavior. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*.