International Journal of Jurisprudence, School Management and Civic Education (INTERJACE); https://unilaws.org/interjace; E-ISSN: 3156-3880.

THE REGULATORY LANDSCAPE OF PRIVATE EDUCATION IN NIGERIA: LEGAL PROTECTIONS, CHALLENGES, AND THE BALANCE BETWEEN QUALITY AND ACCESSIBILITY

Adesina Omolara Adeola
Department of Educational Management
Faculty of Education
University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria
08037977403; adesina.oa@unilorin.edu.ng

ABSTRACT

The expansion of private universities has significantly transformed the structure and delivery of higher education in Nigeria. Since the licensing of the first three private universities in 1999, the sector has grown to more than 160 institutions by 2025, accounting for over half of the universities in the country. Although this development has diversified university provision and increased opportunities for higher education, it has also raised concerns about regulatory effectiveness, academic standards, institutional sustainability and equitable access. This paper examines the legal and regulatory framework governing private universities in Nigeria, analyses the major challenges affecting their operations and explores the tension between quality assurance and educational accessibility. The paper identifies the National Universities Commission Act and the Education (National Minimum Standards and Establishment of Institutions) Act as important legal foundations for regulating private universities. It observes, however, that the effectiveness of these provisions is constrained by inadequate enforcement capacity, institutional resource limitations, weak financial accountability, shortages of qualified academic personnel and undue interference by proprietors in academic administration. High tuition fees, geographical concentration and limited financial assistance also restrict access for economically disadvantaged students. The 2025 moratorium on the establishment of new private universities reflects official concern that institutional expansion has not been matched by sufficient regulatory capacity and quality assurance. The paper recommends stronger regulatory enforcement, improved financial accountability, protection of academic autonomy, expanded student financing arrangements and incentives for investment in research and critical academic disciplines. It concludes that the sustainability of private higher education in Nigeria depends on an effective regulatory balance that promotes institutional development without compromising educational quality or reinforcing socioeconomic inequality.

Keywords: Private Universities, Regulatory Framework, Quality Assurance, Educational Accessibility, Tuition Fees, Academic Autonomy, Legal Protection, Higher Education Policy.

Introduction

The Nigerian university system has undergone considerable transformation since the introduction of private participation in university education in 1999. Before this development, public universities dominated the provision of university education, leaving the country heavily dependent on government-owned institutions to accommodate the increasing demand for higher

education. The approval of Igbinedion University, Madonna University and Babcock University in May 1999 marked a significant departure from this arrangement and established the foundation for private sector participation in university ownership and management.

The emergence of private universities was influenced by several factors, particularly the inability of public universities to accommodate the growing number of applicants, persistent funding difficulties, deteriorating institutional facilities and disruptions associated with industrial actions. The wider economic policy environment also encouraged private sector involvement in areas previously dominated by government. Consequently, private universities became an alternative mechanism for expanding institutional capacity, diversifying educational provision and responding to the increasing demand for university qualifications.

The expansion has been remarkable. From the initial three institutions licensed in 1999, the number of private universities increased to more than 160 by 2025, representing over half of the universities operating in Nigeria. This growth has altered the ownership structure of the university system and increased the participation of religious organisations, individuals, corporate bodies and other private promoters in higher education provision (Arah, 2020; Arikewuyo & Ogunsanwo, 2024). The expansion of private universities has generated contrasting assessments among education stakeholders. Supporters maintain that private participation has widened opportunities for university admission, introduced alternative approaches to institutional management, encouraged curricular innovation and provided more stable academic calendars. Private universities have also reduced some of the pressure on public institutions, particularly where demand for admission exceeds available spaces. Their ability to operate with fewer disruptions from industrial disputes is regarded as an advantage for students seeking continuity in their academic programmes.

However, concerns have emerged regarding the quality, affordability and long-term sustainability of some private institutions. These concerns relate to the adequacy of financial resources, availability of qualified academic personnel, quality of physical infrastructure, research capacity and the influence of commercial interests on academic decisions. High tuition fees also restrict participation, making private university education more accessible to affluent households than to students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds. These developments raise an important policy question: whether the expansion of private universities has translated into improved access to quality education or merely increased the number of institutions without adequately addressing the conditions necessary for effective university education.

Effective regulation is therefore central to the development of private higher education in Nigeria. The National Universities Commission (NUC) exercises regulatory authority over universities irrespective of their ownership, while the Education (National Minimum Standards and Establishment of Institutions) Act provides an important legal foundation for the establishment and regulation of educational institutions. These legal instruments are supplemented by NUC guidelines, establishment procedures, accreditation requirements and the Code of Governance for Private Universities in Nigeria. Nevertheless, the existence of regulatory provisions does not automatically guarantee compliance. Institutional expansion has created additional demands on

the NUC, particularly in accreditation, inspection, monitoring and enforcement. The federal government's announcement of a moratorium on new private university approvals in February 2025 reflected growing concern that the pace of institutional expansion had exceeded the capacity to maintain acceptable standards (National Universities Commission [NUC], 2025a). Against this background, this paper examines the legal and regulatory framework governing private universities in Nigeria, the challenges affecting compliance and institutional performance, and the implications of high educational costs for equitable access. It further considers how regulatory interventions can promote a university system in which private participation supports national development without undermining academic standards or excluding students on the basis of economic circumstances.

Legal Foundations and Regulatory Governance of Private Universities

The regulation of private universities in Nigeria is supported by constitutional provisions, principal legislation and regulatory guidelines developed by relevant government agencies. Although private individuals and organisations are permitted to establish universities, such institutions do not operate independently of national educational laws and standards. Their establishment and continued operation remain subject to government approval and regulatory supervision.

Constitutional and statutory foundations

Section 18 of the Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999, as amended, provides the constitutional context for government responsibility in education. It establishes the state's commitment to promoting equal and adequate educational opportunities. Although the constitutional provision does not independently prescribe the detailed conditions for establishing private universities, it provides the broader framework within which government educational responsibilities and regulatory interventions are exercised.

The National Universities Commission Act provides the statutory foundation for the regulatory responsibilities of the NUC. Through its mandate, the Commission oversees the establishment, accreditation and monitoring of universities and promotes compliance with approved academic and institutional standards. These responsibilities extend to private universities because institutional ownership does not exempt an institution from national requirements governing university education.

Another important legal instrument is the Education (National Minimum Standards and Establishment of Institutions) Act. The Act provides for the establishment and enforcement of minimum standards in educational institutions and establishes legal requirements governing the approval and operation of private educational institutions. It therefore provides an important basis for regulating private participation while subjecting prospective proprietors to prescribed conditions.

The Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020 also provides the general corporate framework within which organisations intending to establish and operate educational institutions must satisfy applicable registration requirements. However, corporate registration does not confer authority to

operate a university. Promoters must obtain the relevant approval from the NUC and satisfy the requirements prescribed for university establishment.

Establishment requirements and institutional approval

The establishment of a private university involves administrative and regulatory processes designed to determine whether prospective proprietors possess the institutional vision, resources and capacity required to sustain a university. The NUC's establishment procedure involves preliminary assessments, evaluation of promoters, verification of proposed facilities, examination of financial capacity and assessment of other institutional requirements.

Physical infrastructure constitutes an important component of the approval process. Prospective institutions are expected to demonstrate access to adequate land and facilities, including lecture halls, classrooms, libraries, laboratories, information technology resources, accommodation and other essential services. The requirements are intended to ensure that universities possess the physical environment necessary for teaching, learning, research and student welfare.

Financial sustainability is equally important. Private university promoters are expected to demonstrate sufficient financial capacity to establish and maintain their institutions beyond the initial operational period. This requirement is intended to discourage the establishment of universities that depend on inadequate or uncertain funding arrangements and may consequently compromise academic delivery.

Academic staffing is another critical consideration. Universities are expected to employ appropriately qualified academic personnel and maintain staff-student ratios consistent with approved standards for their programmes. The availability of qualified lecturers, researchers and academic administrators is essential for effective teaching, supervision, curriculum development and research. These establishment requirements serve an important protective function. They are intended to ensure that private participation does not become an unrestricted commercial activity at the expense of the academic and institutional standards expected of a university.

Institutional governance and accountability

Beyond establishment requirements, the NUC has introduced governance provisions to guide the administration of private universities. The Code of Governance for Private Universities in Nigeria provides direction on the responsibilities of proprietors, boards of trustees, governing councils, vice-chancellors and other institutional authorities (NUC, 2022). The Code addresses governance weaknesses associated with excessive proprietor involvement in routine administration, disregard for established university structures, interference in financial management and the weakening of the authority of vice-chancellors. It recognises that private university ownership and university administration involve distinct responsibilities.

Proprietors provide the institutional foundation and resources, while boards of trustees establish broad institutional direction and values. Governing councils exercise oversight responsibilities, whereas vice-chancellors provide academic and administrative leadership. Academic boards and other statutory committees are responsible for academic matters within their respective mandates.

The separation of these responsibilities is necessary to protect institutional autonomy and ensure that university decisions are guided by academic and professional considerations. The governance framework also discourages the treatment of universities primarily as commercial enterprises. Proprietors are expected to demonstrate sustained commitment to educational development, employ appropriately qualified personnel and provide the resources required for effective academic operations. Institutional ownership should not translate into unrestricted control over academic decisions.

Taken together, the legal and regulatory provisions establish a framework for controlling institutional entry, maintaining minimum academic standards, promoting responsible governance and protecting the integrity of university education. Their effectiveness, however, depends on consistent implementation, adequate regulatory resources and the willingness of institutions to comply with established requirements.

Institutional Constraints on Quality and Regulatory Compliance

Although the regulatory framework provides requirements for establishing and operating private universities, several institutional challenges continue to affect the quality of education delivered by some institutions. These challenges relate particularly to funding, academic staffing, infrastructure, research development, institutional governance and regulatory enforcement.

Funding constraints and institutional sustainability

Funding is one of the most important factors influencing the operational capacity of private universities. Unlike public universities that receive government subventions, private institutions depend largely on internally generated revenue, particularly tuition fees and other charges paid by students. Their ability to maintain academic programmes, recruit qualified personnel, develop infrastructure and support research is therefore closely connected to the adequacy and stability of their financial resources.

Arikewuyo and Ogunsanwo (2024) and Arah (2017), observe that inadequate funding contributes to shortages of qualified personnel and insufficient infrastructural facilities in private universities. The problem becomes more serious where proprietors place greater emphasis on financial returns than on the long-term development of the institution. Under such circumstances, expenditure on research, staff development, laboratories and other academic facilities may receive insufficient attention. The financial sustainability of a university should not be assessed merely by its ability to collect tuition and meet immediate operational expenses. It should also be evaluated in relation to its capacity to maintain academic standards, improve facilities, support research and provide an enabling environment for teaching and learning. Financial accountability and appropriate reinvestment of institutional revenue are therefore essential elements of effective private university regulation.

Shortages of qualified academic personnel

The availability of competent academic staff is another major determinant of university quality. Private universities require experienced lecturers, researchers and academic administrators to

sustain effective teaching, supervision, curriculum development and research activities. However, some institutions experience difficulties attracting and retaining qualified personnel because of funding constraints and unfavourable employment conditions.

Reports on private university operations have drawn attention to concerns relating to remuneration, employment security and institutional support for staff development (BusinessDay, 2024). These conditions can affect staff commitment, institutional stability and the continuity of academic programmes. The dependence of some institutions on part-time and visiting lecturers also raises questions about the availability of a stable academic workforce. Although temporary appointments can provide access to specialised expertise, excessive dependence on them may weaken institutional research culture, academic mentorship and continuity in teaching. The challenge is particularly significant in disciplines that require advanced qualifications, specialised professional experience and access to expensive teaching and research facilities. Institutions that cannot provide competitive remuneration and favourable working conditions may find it difficult to retain experienced academics in these fields.

Infrastructural deficiencies and learning conditions

Adequate infrastructure is essential for the delivery of university education. Laboratories, libraries, workshops, information technology facilities, lecture halls and other learning resources must be available and maintained to support approved academic programmes. Although regulatory requirements prescribe minimum facilities, compliance in practice remains a concern in some institutions. The existence of facilities at the point of inspection does not necessarily establish their continuous availability or effective utilisation. Some institutions may experience difficulties maintaining equipment, updating library resources or sustaining laboratory operations after obtaining approval. Where infrastructure is inadequate, students may receive limited practical exposure, while lecturers may be unable to deliver the full content of their academic programmes. Quality assurance must therefore extend beyond the initial verification of facilities. Regular monitoring is necessary to determine whether institutional resources remain functional, accessible and adequate for the programmes being offered.

Proprietor interference and threats to academic autonomy

Closely connected to institutional governance is the problem of proprietor interference in academic affairs. Arikewuyo and Ogunsanwo (2024) identify undue interference by proprietors as a concern affecting academic freedom in some private universities. Such interference may occur through appointments based on personal loyalty, involvement in academic decisions, influence over curriculum development and the prioritisation of financial considerations over professional judgement.

Private ownership should not undermine the academic character of a university. The authority of university management and academic bodies must be protected if private institutions are to function as credible centres of teaching, research and knowledge development. Where academic decisions are determined primarily by ownership interests, institutional governance is weakened and qualified academics may be discouraged from participating fully in university administration.

Effective regulation should therefore ensure that proprietors exercise their legitimate ownership and oversight responsibilities without usurping the statutory and professional functions of governing councils, vice-chancellors and academic bodies.

Programme concentration and national development priorities

The distribution of academic programmes across private universities also raises concerns about the relationship between institutional priorities and national development needs. Arikewuyo and Ogunsanwo (2024) observe that many private universities concentrate on management sciences, social sciences, arts and natural sciences, while comparatively fewer institutions offer programmes in engineering, medicine, technology and agricultural sciences. The concentration in certain disciplines is partly associated with differences in establishment and operational costs. Programmes in the humanities and social sciences generally require less specialised equipment and laboratory investment than engineering, medical and technology-based disciplines. Consequently, institutions operating under financial constraints may prefer programmes that require lower capital expenditure and can attract sufficient student enrolment. However, excessive concentration in programmes that are less capital-intensive may limit the contribution of private universities to the development of critical technical and professional skills. Nigeria requires graduates capable of addressing challenges in infrastructure, healthcare, agriculture, industrial production and technological innovation. Private universities should therefore be encouraged to broaden their programme offerings in response to national needs, provided they possess the resources and academic capacity required to maintain appropriate standards.

Weak postgraduate education and research capacity

The contribution of private universities to postgraduate education and advanced research remains another area of concern. Postgraduate programmes are important for developing researchers, training future academics, generating new knowledge and strengthening the capacity of universities to contribute to national innovation. Evidence reviewed by Arikewuyo and Ogunsanwo (2024) indicates that only 33 of the 79 private universities considered in their study offered postgraduate programmes in 2020. This suggests that a substantial proportion of the institutions examined concentrated their activities on undergraduate education.

The development of postgraduate programmes requires qualified supervisors, research facilities, adequate library resources, funding and an established academic research culture. These requirements may be difficult for institutions operating with limited financial and human resources. In addition, postgraduate education may not generate immediate financial returns comparable to undergraduate programmes, thereby reducing its priority in institutions guided primarily by short-term financial considerations. The limited development of postgraduate education consequently restricts the contribution of private universities to advanced research, knowledge production and the training of highly specialised personnel. A sustainable private university system should place greater emphasis on developing research capacity alongside undergraduate teaching.

Regulatory capacity and enforcement limitations

Institutional challenges are compounded by limitations in the capacity of the regulatory authority. The rapid growth in the number of private universities has increased the volume of work required of the NUC in accreditation, inspection, monitoring and enforcement. With more than 160 private universities operating alongside federal and state institutions, regulatory supervision requires substantial personnel, funding, technical expertise and administrative coordination. Where inspection and monitoring resources are inadequate, the Commission may experience difficulties conducting regular assessments of all institutions and their academic programmes. This creates opportunities for some universities to continue operating programmes with unresolved accreditation deficiencies or inadequate resources. Inconsistent enforcement also weakens the deterrent effect of regulatory standards. The government's acknowledgement of quality concerns within the private university sector demonstrates that regulatory effectiveness must be measured not only by the number of institutions licensed but also by the extent to which existing institutions maintain the standards required for university education (NUC, 2025a).

Tuition Costs, Educational Access and Social Inequality

The expansion of private universities has increased the number of institutions available to prospective students. However, the availability of additional institutions does not necessarily translate into equitable access where the cost of attendance remains beyond the financial capacity of a large proportion of households. Tuition fees vary considerably across private universities, depending on institutional reputation, academic programmes, available facilities and operational arrangements. Some institutions charge comparatively moderate fees, while others impose substantially higher charges. Specialised programmes, particularly medicine and surgery, are generally more expensive because of their infrastructural, staffing and equipment requirements. Reports on private university fees illustrate the considerable differences in the financial demands placed on students and their families (Kashgain, 2024; Punch, 2024; Arah, 2025).

The cost of private university education becomes particularly burdensome when accommodation, transportation, textbooks, laboratory charges and other expenses are considered. Families with more than one child seeking university education may face even greater financial pressure. Consequently, the ability to attend a private university is often determined by household income, access to financial assistance and the availability of alternative sources of educational funding. This situation has implications for educational equality. Students from affluent households are more likely to access private universities that offer stable academic calendars, modern facilities and other institutional advantages. Students from disadvantaged backgrounds, on the other hand, may have to compete for limited spaces in public universities or abandon their aspirations for university education because of financial constraints.

The resulting disparity can reproduce existing socioeconomic inequalities. Where access to institutional quality is strongly influenced by household income, educational opportunities become less dependent on academic ability and more closely associated with financial circumstances. This undermines the principle that university education should provide opportunities for individual advancement and contribute to social mobility.

Some private universities have introduced scholarships, financial assistance and flexible tuition payment arrangements to reduce the financial burden on students. Although these initiatives provide relief, their overall impact may be limited by the number of beneficiaries and the financial capacity of the institutions. Scholarships may cover only a small proportion of students requiring assistance, while installment arrangements do not necessarily reduce the total cost of education. Accessibility is also influenced by the geographical distribution of private universities. Their concentration in some parts of the country creates regional differences in the availability of private university education. Students from areas with fewer institutions may have to relocate to distant states, thereby incurring additional expenses for transportation, accommodation and living costs.
The range of academic programmes available further influences accessibility. Students seeking specialised courses may discover that institutions within their geographical areas do not offer their preferred programmes. This may compel them to seek admission elsewhere at additional cost or abandon their preferred fields of study. Improving access therefore requires attention not only to the number of private universities but also to their geographical distribution, programme diversity, affordability and the availability of financial assistance. Expansion without these considerations may increase institutional choice without producing meaningful improvement in equitable access.

Government Interventions and Emerging Regulatory Priorities

The increasing concern about institutional quality and regulatory effectiveness has prompted the federal government and the NUC to introduce measures aimed at strengthening oversight of private universities. These interventions reflect an effort to ensure that the expansion of university education is supported by adequate institutional resources, responsible governance and effective quality assurance.

The 2025 moratorium on new private universities

One of the significant developments was the announcement of a moratorium on the establishment of new private universities in February 2025. The suspension, which took effect on February 10, 2025, placed a temporary restriction on the processing of additional applications for private universities (NUC, 2025a). The measure was intended to provide the NUC with an opportunity to review outstanding applications, examine the viability of proposed institutions and strengthen the guidelines governing the establishment of new universities. It also provided an opportunity to reconsider whether existing regulatory arrangements were adequate for addressing the demands of contemporary higher education.

The decision was significant because it represented a shift in regulatory emphasis from institutional expansion towards the quality and sustainability of existing institutions. Rather than continuing to increase the number of universities without addressing weaknesses in the system, the government sought to create room for a more comprehensive review of regulatory requirements and institutional performance.

The moratorium did not, however, prevent the licensing of institutions whose applications had already completed the approval process. In April 2025, the federal government granted licences to 11 new private universities following the completion of earlier approval processes (NUC, 2025b).

This demonstrated that the moratorium was directed at reviewing further applications rather than cancelling approvals already completed.

The policy should therefore be understood as a regulatory intervention aimed at managing institutional expansion and improving quality assurance. Its effectiveness will depend on whether the period of restriction produces measurable improvements in the monitoring, accreditation and institutional sustainability of existing universities.

Strengthening establishment and accreditation procedures

The NUC's establishment procedures provide a mechanism for examining the readiness of prospective institutions before they are permitted to operate. Greater attention to financial sustainability, physical infrastructure, land ownership, academic staffing and the motivations of promoters is intended to ensure that only applicants with adequate commitment and resources are approved. Accreditation and post-approval monitoring are equally important. Approval to establish a university should not be treated as permanent evidence of institutional quality. Universities must continue to demonstrate that their academic programmes, personnel, infrastructure and governance arrangements meet prescribed standards. The regulatory authority should therefore maintain a systematic cycle of institutional assessment, programme accreditation and follow-up inspections. Where deficiencies are identified, institutions should be required to implement corrective measures within specified periods. Persistent violations should attract proportionate sanctions.

Implementing the Code of Governance

The Code of Governance for Private Universities provides another mechanism for addressing institutional management weaknesses. Its provisions clarify governance responsibilities and establish expectations for institutional accountability (NUC, 2022).

The Code addresses concerns relating to arbitrary interference in university administration, the weakening of vice-chancellors' authority, inadequate implementation of committee systems and poor financial management. Its effective implementation can strengthen institutional accountability and protect the integrity of university management. However, the existence of a governance code is insufficient without consistent monitoring and enforcement. The NUC must ensure that institutions comply with governance requirements in practice and not merely maintain formal structures for regulatory inspection.

Balancing institutional growth with quality assurance

The moratorium and other regulatory interventions raise a broader policy issue concerning the relationship between institutional expansion and educational quality. Restricting the establishment of new universities may provide temporary relief to the regulatory authority, but it cannot independently resolve the problems affecting existing institutions. A sustainable regulatory approach must combine control of institutional entry with continuous supervision, institutional development and support for quality improvement. It should also recognise that private universities operate within different financial and organisational circumstances. Regulatory requirements must be consistently applied while allowing institutions to pursue legitimate innovation and institutional

development. The ultimate objective should not be to discourage private participation but to ensure that private investment contributes meaningfully to national higher education objectives. Regulation must protect students and the public interest without creating unnecessary administrative barriers to responsible institutional growth.

Conclusion

Private universities have become an important component of Nigeria's higher education system. Their emergence has diversified university ownership, expanded institutional capacity and provided additional opportunities for students seeking admission into higher education. The growth from three institutions in 1999 to more than 160 licensed private universities by 2025 demonstrates the extent to which private participation has transformed the university landscape. The regulatory framework governing these institutions is supported by the National Universities Commission Act, the Education (National Minimum Standards and Establishment of Institutions) Act, establishment guidelines and the Code of Governance for Private Universities in Nigeria. Together, these instruments provide a legal and administrative foundation for controlling institutional establishment, maintaining academic standards, regulating governance and promoting accountability.

However, the existence of legal provisions has not eliminated the challenges affecting private university operations. Inadequate funding, shortages of qualified academic staff, infrastructural deficiencies, limited research development and undue proprietor interference continue to affect institutional performance. The concentration of academic programmes in disciplines requiring relatively lower capital investment also raises concerns about the contribution of private universities to national technical and professional development. These challenges are reinforced by limitations in the regulatory capacity of the NUC and inconsistent enforcement of established standards.

The question of accessibility is equally important. Although the expansion of private universities has increased the number of available institutions, high tuition fees prevent many Nigerian families from taking advantage of these opportunities. Financial barriers, geographical concentration and limited programme availability reinforce inequalities in access to university education. Consequently, the benefits of private university expansion are not distributed equally across socioeconomic and geographical groups.

The 2025 moratorium on new private university approvals represents an important policy response to concerns about the relationship between institutional expansion and educational quality. It recognises that the continued growth of the sector must be accompanied by stronger regulatory supervision and improved institutional capacity. Nevertheless, restricting new approvals alone cannot resolve the underlying problems affecting existing universities. The long-term contribution of private universities to national development will depend on the ability of government and regulatory authorities to enforce standards, protect academic autonomy, promote institutional sustainability and improve educational accessibility. Regulation must therefore extend beyond controlling the establishment of institutions to ensuring that existing universities provide quality

education, maintain transparent governance and respond to the broader educational and developmental needs of the country. Ultimately, the success of private higher education in Nigeria should be assessed not simply by the number of universities established, but by the quality, relevance, affordability and social value of the education they provide.

Recommendations

Based on the issues examined, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Strengthen regulatory enforcement: The National Universities Commission should improve its monitoring and enforcement capacity through increased staffing, adequate funding, regular institutional inspections and more frequent quality assessments. Institutions that violate established standards should face appropriate sanctions irrespective of the influence or status of their proprietors.
2. Improve financial accountability: Private universities should be required to submit annual audited financial statements and disclose relevant information about tuition fees, institutional expenditure and financial assistance programmes. Independent verification of financial sustainability should form an important component of regulatory monitoring.
3. Protect academic autonomy: Clearer regulatory provisions should prevent undue interference by proprietors in academic administration. The professional authority of vice-chancellors, governing councils and academic bodies should be protected, while appropriate channels should be established for reporting governance violations.
4. Improve staff recruitment and welfare: Private universities should provide competitive remuneration, stable employment conditions and opportunities for professional development to attract and retain qualified academic personnel. Regulatory assessments should consider the adequacy, qualifications and employment stability of academic staff.
5. Promote investment in research and critical disciplines: Government and relevant agencies should introduce incentives that encourage private universities to develop research infrastructure and establish programmes in engineering, medicine, agriculture, technology and other disciplines relevant to national development. Possible incentives include targeted grants, appropriate tax benefits for eligible research investments and support for institutional partnerships.
6. Expand financial assistance for disadvantaged students: Private universities should strengthen scholarship and bursary arrangements for academically qualified students from economically disadvantaged backgrounds. Government should also explore appropriate subsidy mechanisms and partnerships that can expand need-based financial assistance.
7. Develop accessible student financing arrangements: Student financing mechanisms should be strengthened to enable qualified students to finance their education without imposing excessive immediate financial burdens on their families. Such arrangements should incorporate transparent eligibility requirements and sustainable repayment provisions.
8. Encourage geographical balance: Appropriate incentives should be considered for responsible private university development in underserved regions, subject to demonstrated institutional need and compliance with quality requirements. Technology-supported distance and blended learning arrangements should also be encouraged where they meet approved academic standards.

9. Strengthen stakeholder participation: Students, academic staff, employers, parents and civil society organisations should be involved in consultations concerning private university regulation and quality assurance. Transparent complaint-handling and dispute-resolution mechanisms should also be established to address concerns about institutional practices.
10. Build the institutional capacity of the NUC: Government should provide adequate financial and administrative support for the NUC to strengthen its regulatory expertise, develop staff capacity and deploy technology-based systems for monitoring institutional performance, accreditation status and compliance with national standards.

REFERENCES

Arah, A.N. (2020). Reality Television and Participatory Development in Nigeria's Niger Delta: Dawn in the Creeks as a Paradigm. Creative Artist: *A Journal of Theatre and Media Studies* 14(1).

Arah, A.N. (2017). Reality Television and Participatory Development in Nigeria's Niger Delta: Dawn in the Creeks as Example. *Department of Theatre and Film Studies, faculty of Arts, Nnamdi Azikiwe.*

Arah, A. N. (2017). Reality Television, User-generated Content and Quality Assurance". *Journal of Humanities and Cultural Studies (*JHUCS*)*, 2(1). Awka: Centre for Arts, Culture and Humanities. Tetfund, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka, pp 54-72.

Arah, A. N. (2017). Reality Television and the Change Imperative: A Critical Appraisal of Jeta Amata's Dawn in the Creeks". The Creative Artist: *A Journal of Theatre and Media Studies,13(2),* pp 80-90.

Arah, A. N. (2025). Reverse-Acculturation and Identity: An Overview of Recent Tittle-taking Trend among Diaspora Onitsha Indigenes". *Journal of Humanities and Leadership Studies: Journal of Faculty of Arts, Dennis Osadebey University, Asaba, Delta State*, 1(2), 2025, 2971-5741.

Arikewuyo, O., & Ogunsanwo, M. (2024). The proliferation of private universities in Nigeria: A curse or a blessing? *ASUU Journal of Humanities, 7*(1), 45–67.

BusinessDay. (2024, March 10). *Private universities on the increase in Nigeria amid drop in quality output*. https://businessday.ng/life/article/private-universities-on-the-increase-in-nigeria-amid-drop-in-quality-output/

Federal Republic of Nigeria. (1999). *Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1999* (as amended).

Federal Republic of Nigeria. (2004). *Education (National Minimum Standards and Establishment of Institutions) Act, Cap. E3, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria*.

National Universities Commission. (2022). *Code of governance for private universities in Nigeria*. Abuja: National Universities Commission.

National Universities Commission. (2025a, February 10). *Moratorium on the establishment of new private universities in Nigeria*. https://www.nuc.edu.ng/moratorium-on-the-establishment-of-new-private-universities-in-nigeria/

National Universities Commission. (2025b, April 30). *FG grants licences to 11 new private universities*. https://www.nuc.edu.ng/fg-grants-licenses-to-11-new-private-universities/

Punch. (2024, May 20). *15 most expensive Nigerian universities*. https://punchng.com/15-most-expensive-nigerian-universities/